

A New Trigonometric Shear Deformation Theory For Thick Fixed Beam

Satish S. Manal^a, Rajmahendra M. Sawant^b and Ajay G. Dahake^c

^{a, b}Department of Civil Engineering, Peoples Education Society's College of Engineering, Aurangabad, Maharashtra, India, 431002

^cDepartment of Civil Engineering, Marathwada Institute of Technology, Aurangabad, Maharashtra, India, 431028

Email : satishsmanal@gmail.com, prof.sawantrm@gmail.com, ajaydahake@gmail.com

Abstract- A new trigonometric shear deformation theory for bending of thick fixed beam, taking into account transverse shear deformation effects, is developed. The number of variables in the present theory is same as that in the first order shear deformation theory. The displacement field used in terms of sinusoidal function in thickness coordinate is replaced with

$z \left(1 - \frac{4z^2}{3h^2} \right) \phi$ to represent the shear deformation effects. The noteworthy feature of this theory is that the transverse shear stresses can be obtained directly from the use of constitutive relations with excellent accuracy, satisfying the shear stress free conditions on the top and bottom surfaces of the beam. Hence, the theory obviates the need of shear correction factor. Governing differential equations and boundary conditions are obtained by using the principle of virtual work. The thick isotropic beams are considered for the numerical studies to demonstrate the efficiency of the theory. It has been shown that the theory is capable of predicting the local effect of stress concentration due to fixity of support. The fixed isotropic beam subjected to uniformly varying load is examined using the present theory. Results obtained are discussed critically with those of other refined theories.

Keywords Thick beam; trigonometric shear deformation; principle of virtual work; equilibrium equation; stress.

1. Introduction

It is well-known that ETB of beam based on Euler-Bernoulli hypothesis disregards the effects of the shear deformation and stress concentration. The theory is suitable for slender beams and is not suitable for thick or deep beams since it is based on the assumption that the transverse normal to neutral axis remains so during bending and after bending, implying that the transverse shear strain is zero. Since theory neglects the transverse shear deformation, it underestimates deflections in case of thick beams where shear deformation effects are significant.

Bresse [2], Rayleigh [10] and Timoshenko [13] were the pioneer investigators to include refined effects such as rotatory inertia and shear deformation in the beam theory. Timoshenko showed that the effect of transverse vibration of prismatic bars. This theory is now widely referred to as Timoshenko beam theory or first order shear deformation theory (FSDT) in the literature. In this theory transverse shear strain distribution is assumed to be constant through the beam thickness and thus requires shear

correction factor to appropriately represent the strain energy of deformation. Cowper [3] has given refined expression for the shear correction factor for different cross-sections of beam. The accuracy of Timoshenko beam theory for transverse vibrations of simply supported beam in respect of the fundamental frequency is verified by Cowper [4] with a plane stress exact elasticity solution. To remove the discrepancies in classical and first order shear deformation theories, higher order or refined shear deformation theories were developed and are available in the open literature for static and vibration analysis of beam.

Kant and Gupta [9], Heyliger and Reddy [7] presented finite element models based on higher order shear deformation uniform rectangular beams. However, these displacement based finite element models are not free from phenomenon of shear locking (Averill and Reddy [1]; Reddy [11]).

There is another class of refined theories, which includes trigonometric functions to represent the shear deformation effects through the thickness. Stein [12] developed refined shear deformation theories for thick beams including sinusoidal function in terms of thickness coordinate in displacement field. However, with these theories shear stress free boundary conditions are not satisfied at top and bottom surfaces of the beam. A study of literature by Ghugal and Shimpi [5] indicates that the research work dealing with flexural analysis of thick beams using refined trigonometric and hyperbolic shear deformation theories is very scarce and is still in infancy.

Ghugal and Dahake [15, 16, 17, 18] presented trigonometric shear deformation theory using sinusoidal function in thickness coordinates for fixed beams subjected to various loading conditions.

In this paper development of theory and its application to thick fixed beam is presented.

2 DEVELOPMENT OF THEORY

The beam under consideration occupies in $0 - x - y - z$ Cartesian coordinate system the region:

$$0 \leq x \leq L ; \quad 0 \leq y \leq b ; \quad -\frac{h}{2} \leq z \leq \frac{h}{2}$$

where x, y, z are Cartesian coordinates, L and b are the length and width of beam in the x and y directions respectively, and h is

the thickness of the beam in the z-direction. The beam is made up of homogeneous, linearly elastic isotropic material.

2.1 The Displacement Field

The displacement field of the present beam theory is of the form:

$$u(x, z) = -z \frac{dw}{dx} + z \left(1 - \frac{4z^2}{3h^2} \right) \phi \quad (1)$$

where u is the axial displacement in x direction and w is the transverse displacement in z direction of the beam. The sinusoidal function is assigned according to the shear stress distribution through the thickness of the beam. The function ϕ represents rotation of the beam at neutral axis, which is an unknown function to be determined. The normal and shear strains obtained within the framework of linear theory of elasticity using displacement field given by Equation (1) are as follows.

Normal strain:

$$\gamma \sigma_x = \frac{du}{dx} - E \varepsilon_x = \tau_{xz} = G \gamma_{xz} \quad (4)$$

Shear strain:

The stress-strain relationships used are as follows:

2.2 Governing equations and boundary conditions

Using the expressions for strains and stresses (2) through (4) and using the principle of virtual work, variationally consistent governing differential equations and boundary conditions for the beam under consideration can be obtained. The principle of virtual work when applied to the beam leads

$$\delta \int_{x=0}^{x=L} \int_{z=-h/2}^{z=h/2} (\sigma_x \delta \varepsilon_x + \tau_{xz} \delta \gamma_{xz}) dx dz - \int_{x=0}^{x=L} q(x) \delta w dx = 0 \quad (5)$$

to:

where the symbol δ denotes the variational operator. Employing Green's theorem in Eqn. (4) successively, we obtain the coupled Euler-Lagrange equations which are the governing differential equations and associated boundary conditions of the beam. The governing differential equations obtained are as

$$EI \frac{d^4 w}{dx^4} - \frac{4}{5} EI \frac{d^3 \phi}{dx^3} - q(x) = 0 \quad (6)$$

$$\frac{4}{5} EI \frac{d^3 w}{dx^3} - \frac{68}{105} EI \frac{d^2 \phi}{dx^2} + \frac{8}{15} GA \phi = 0 \quad (7)$$

follows:

The associated consistent natural boundary conditions obtained are of following form:

At the ends $x = 0$ and $x = L$

Thus the boundary value problem of the beam bending is given by the above variationally consistent governing

differential equations and boundary conditions.

2.3 The general solution of governing equilibrium equations of the beam

$$V_x = EI \frac{d^3 w}{dx^3} - \frac{4}{5} EI \frac{d^2 \phi}{dx^2} = 0 \quad \text{or } w \text{ is prescribed} \quad (8)$$

$$M_x = EI \frac{d^2 w}{dx^2} - \frac{4}{5} EI \frac{d \phi}{dx} = 0 \quad \text{or } \frac{dw}{dx} \text{ is prescribed} \quad (9)$$

$$M_a = \frac{4}{5} EI \frac{d^2 w}{dx^2} - \frac{68}{105} EI \frac{d \phi}{dx} \text{ or } \phi \text{ is prescribed} \quad (10)$$

The general solution for transverse displacement $w(x)$ and warping function $\phi(x)$ is obtained using Eqns. (6) and (7) using method of solution of linear differential equations with constant coefficients. Integrating and rearranging the first governing Eqn. (6), we obtain the following equation

$$\frac{d^3 w}{dx^3} = \frac{4}{5} \frac{d^2 \phi}{dx^2} + \frac{Q(x)}{EI} \quad (11)$$

where $Q(x)$ is the generalized shear force for beam and it is given by

$$Q(x) = \int_0^x q dx + C_1$$

Now the second governing Eqn (7) is rearranged in the following form:

$$\frac{d^3 w}{dx^3} = \frac{\pi}{4} \frac{d^2 \phi}{dx^2} - \beta \phi$$

A single equation in terms of ϕ is now obtained using Eqns (11) and (12) as:

$$\frac{d^2 \phi}{dx^2} - \lambda^2 \phi = \frac{Q(x)}{\alpha EI}$$

where constants α , β and λ in Eqns. (12) and (13) are as follows

$$w(x) = \frac{q_0 L^4}{120 EI} \left[\frac{x^5}{L^5} + 5 \frac{x^4}{L^4} - 7 \frac{x^3}{L^3} + 3 \frac{x^2}{L^2} + \frac{21 E}{5 G} \frac{h^2}{L^2} \left[\frac{10 x^3}{21 L^3} - \frac{10 x^2}{7 L^2} + \frac{x}{L} - \frac{\sinh \lambda x - \cosh \lambda x + 1}{\lambda L} \right] \right]$$

The general solution of Eqn. (13) is as follows:

$$\phi(x) = \phi(x) = \frac{q_0 L}{\beta EI} \left(\frac{10 x^2}{7 L^2} - \frac{20 x}{7 L} + 1 + \sinh \lambda x - \cosh \lambda x \right)$$

The equation of transverse displacement $w(x)$ is obtained by substituting the expression of $\phi(x)$ in Eqn. (12) and then

integrating it thrice with respect to x. The general solution for w(x) is obtained as follows:

$$EIw(x) = \iiint q dx dx dx + \frac{c_1 x^3}{6} + \left(\frac{17}{21} \lambda^2 - \beta\right) \frac{EI}{\lambda^3} (c_2 \sinh \lambda x - c_3 \cosh \lambda x) + c_4 \frac{x^2}{2} + c_5 x + c_6 \quad (16)$$

where C_1, C_2, C_3, C_4, C_5 and C_6 are arbitrary constants and can be obtained by imposing boundary conditions of beam.

3. Illustrative Example

In order to prove the efficacy of the present theory, the following numerical example is considered. The following material properties for beam are used $E = 210 \text{ GPa}$, $\nu = 0.3$ and $\rho = 7800 \text{ kg/m}^3$, where E is the Young's modulus, ρ is the density, and ν is the Poisson's ratio of beam material.

A fixed-fixed beam has its origin at left hand side support and is fixed at $x = 0$ and L . The beam is subjected to varying load on surface $z = +h/2$ acting in the downward z direction with maximum intensity of load q_0 .




Fig. 1 Fixed beam with varying load

Boundary conditions associated with this problem are as follows:

Fixed ends: $\frac{dw}{dx} = \phi = w = 0$ at $x = 0, L$ at $x = 0, L$

General expressions $w(x)$ and $\phi(x)$ obtained for are as follows:

$$\bar{u} = \frac{E b u}{q_0 h}, \bar{w} = \frac{10 E b h^3 w}{q_0 L^4}, \bar{\sigma}_x = \frac{b \sigma_x}{q_0}, \bar{\tau}_{zx} = \frac{b \tau_{zx}}{q_0} \quad (17)$$

3 Results

The results for inplane displacement, transverse displacement, axial and transverse stresses are presented in the following non dimensional form for the purpose of presenting the results in this paper.

Table 1. Non-Dimensional Axial Displacement (\bar{u}) at $(x = 0.25L, z = h/2)$, Transverse Deflection (\bar{w}) at $(x = 0.25L, z = 0.0)$ Axial Stress ($\bar{\sigma}_x$) at $(x = 0.0, z = h/2)$

Maximum Transverse Shears Stresses $\bar{\tau}_{zx}^{CR}$ at $(x=0.01L, z =0.0)$ and $\bar{\tau}_{zx}^{EE}$ at $(x=0.01L, z =0.0)$ of the Fixed Beam

| Source | S | \bar{u} | \bar{w} | $\bar{\sigma}_x$ | $\bar{\tau}_{zx}^{CR}$ | $\bar{\tau}_{zx}^{EE}$ |
|---------------------------|----|-----------|-----------|------------------|------------------------|------------------------|
| Present TSDT | | 1.67 | 0.30 | 11.61 | 1.06 | -2.06 |
| Dahake and Ghugal [16,17] | | 1.67 | 0.28 | 10.78 | 0.97 | -1.48 |
| Ghugal and Sharma [6] | 4 | 1.67 | 0.32 | 12.45 | 1.16 | -2.64 |
| Timoshenko [13] | | 1.53 | 0.27 | 4.80 | 0.03 | 2.04 |
| Bernoulli-Euler | | 1.53 | 0.15 | 4.80 | — | 2.04 |
| Present TSDT | | 24.36 | 0.18 | 47.46 | 4.34 | 1.87 |
| Dahake and Ghugal [16,17] | | 24.36 | 0.17 | 45.39 | 4.19 | 1.76 |
| Ghugal and Sharma [6] | 10 | 24.36 | 0.18 | 49.52 | 4.49 | 1.99 |
| Timoshenko [13] | | 24.02 | 0.17 | 30.00 | 0.61 | 5.10 |
| Bernoulli-Euler | | 24.02 | 0.15 | 30.00 | — | 5.10 |

Subjected to Varying Load for Aspect Ratio (S) 4 and 10.





Fig. 2. Variation of axial displacement (\bar{u}) through the thickness of beam at $(x = 0.75L, z)$ for aspect ratio 4 and 10.




Fig. 3. Variation of axial stress ($\bar{\sigma}_x$) through the thickness at ($x=0, z$) for $S=4$ and 10 .




Fig. 5. Variation of transverse shear stress ($\bar{\tau}_{zx}$) through the

thickness at ($x=0.01L, z$) obtain using CR for $S=4$ and 10 .




Fig. 6. Variation of transverse shear stress ($\bar{\tau}_{zx}$) through the thickness at ($x=0.01L, z$) obtain using EE for $S=4$ and 10 .

5 Conclusion

The variationally consistent theoretical formulation of the theory with general solution technique of governing differential equations is presented. The general solutions for beam with uniformly varying load is obtained in case of thick fixed beam. The displacements and stresses obtained by present theory are in excellent agreement with those of other equivalent refined and higher order theories. The present theory yields the realistic variation of axial displacement and stresses through the thickness of beam. The theory is shown to be capable of predicting the effects of stress concentration on the axial and transverse stresses in the vicinity of the built-in end of the beam which is the region of heavy stress concentration. Thus the validity of the present theory is established.

REFERENCES

- i. Averill, R.C., Reddy, J.N., An assessment of four-noded plate finite elements based on a generalized third order theory, *International Journal of Numerical Methods in Engineering*, 33, (1992), 1553-1572.
- ii. Bresse, J.A.C., *Cours de Mechanique Applique*, Mallet-Bachelier, Paris, 1859.

iii. Cowper, G.R., *The shear coefficients in Timoshenko beam theory*, *ASME Journal of Applied Mechanics*, 33(2), (1966), 335-340.

iv. Cowper, G.R., *On the accuracy of Timoshenko beam theory*, *ASCE Journal of Engineering Mechanics Division*. 94 (EM6), (1968), 1447-1453.

v. Ghugal, Y.M., Shmipi, R.P., *A review of refined shear deformation theories for isotropic and anisotropic laminated beams*, *Journal of Reinforced Plastics and Composites*, 20(3), (2001), 255-272.

vi. Ghugal, Y.M., Sharma, R., *A hyperbolic shear deformation theory for flexure and vibration of thick isotropic beams*, *International Journal of Computational Methods*, 6(4), (2009), 585-604.

vii. Heyliger, P.R., Reddy, J.N., *A higher order beam finite element for bending and vibration problems*, *Journal of Sound and Vibration*, 126(2), (1988), 309-326.

viii. Hildebrand, F.B. Reissner, E.C., *Distribution of Stress in Built-In Beam of Narrow Rectangular Cross Section*, *Journal of Applied Mechanics*, 64, (1942), 109-116.

ix. Kant, T. Gupta, A., *A finite element model for higher order shears deformable beam theory*, *Journal of Sound and Vibration*, 125 (2), (1988), 193-202.

x. Lord Rayleigh, J.W.S., *The Theory of Sound*, Macmillan Publishers, London, 1877.

xi. Reddy, J.N., *An Introduction to Finite Element Method*. 2nd Edition, McGraw-Hill, Inc., New York, 1993.

xii. Stein, M., *Vibration of beams and plate strips with three dimensional flexibility*, *ASME Journal of Applied Mechanics*, 56(1), (1989), 228-231.

xiii. Timoshenko, S.P., *On the correction for shear of the differential equation for transverse vibrations of prismatic bars*, *Philosophical Magazine*, 41 (6), (1921), 742-746.

xiv. Timoshenko, S.P., Goodier, J.N., *Theory of Elasticity*, Third International Edition, McGraw-Hill, Singapore. 1970.

xv. Ghugal Y. M. and Dahake A. G., "Flexure of Thick Beams Using Refined Shear Deformation Theory", *International Journal of Civil and Structural Engineering*, 2012, 3(2), pp. 321-335.

xvi. Ghugal Y. M. and Dahake A. G., "Flexural Analysis of Deep Beam Subjected to Parabolic Load Using Refined Shear Deformation Theory", *Applied and Computational Mechanics*, 2012, 6(2), pp. 163-172.

xvii. Dahake A. G. and Ghugal Y. M. "Flexural analysis of Thick Isotropic Clamped-clamped Beams using Trigonometric Shear deformation Theory", *International Journal of Advances in Management Technology and Engineering Sciences*, Vol. 1, Issue 6 (x), March 2012, pp. 46-53.

xviii. Dahake A. G. and Ghugal Y. M., "A Trigonometric Shear Deformation Theory for Flexure of Thick Beam", 3rd Nirma University International Conference on Engineering (NUiCONE- 2012), selected for *Procedia Engineering (Elsevier) (SciVerse Science Direct)*, pp. 1-7.

Appendix A

Notations

| | |
|------------------------|---|
| A | : Cross sectional area of beam = bh |
| b | : Width of beam in y -direction |
| E, G, μ | : Elastic constants of the beam material |
| h | : Deepness of beam |
| I | : Moment of inertia of cross-section of beam |
| L | : Span of the beam |
| q_0 | : Intensity of parabolic transverse load |
| S | : Aspect ratio of the beam = L/h |
| W | : Transverse displacement in z direction |
| \bar{W} | : Non-dimensional transverse displacement |
| \bar{u} | : Non-dimensional axial displacement |
| x, y, z | : Rectangular Cartesian coordinates |
| $\bar{\sigma}_x$ | : Non-dimensional axial stress in x -direction |
| $\bar{\tau}_{ZX}^{CR}$ | : Non-dimensional transverse shear stress via constitutive relation |
| $\bar{\tau}_{ZX}^{EE}$ | : Non-dimensional transverse shear stress via equilibrium equation |
| $\phi(x)$ | : Unknown function associated with the shear slope |

List of abbreviations

| | |
|-------|--|
| CR | : Constitutive Relations |
| EE | : Equilibrium Equations |
| TSDT | : Trigonometric Shear Deformation Theory |
| HPSDT | : Hyperbolic Shear Deformation Theory |
| FSDT | : First Order Shear Deformation Theory |
| ETB | : Elementary Theory of Beam |